arXiv:1406.0327v2 [math.DG] 7 Apr 2016

THE TOPOLOGY OF CLOSED MANIFOLDS WITH QUASI-CONSTANT SECTIONAL CURVATURE

LOUIS FUNAR

Abstract. We prove that closed manifolds admitting a metric whose sectional curvature is locally quasi-constant are graphs of space forms. In the more general setting of QC space where sets of isotropic points are arbitrary, under suitable positivity assumption and for torsion-free fundamental groups they are still diffeomorphic to connected sums of spherical space forms and spherical bundles over the circle.

AMS Math. Subj.Classification: 53C21, 53C23, 53C25, 57R42.

1. Introduction

The classification of (locally) conformally flat closed manifolds seems out of reach in full generality since M. Kapovich proved (see [23]) that an arbitrary finitely presented group G is a subgroup of a free amalgamated product of the form $G * H$ which is a fundamental group of a conformally flat closed manifold of dimension (at least) 4.

On the other hand, simple infinite groups (e.g. Thompson's groups) do not occur among fundamental groups of conformally flat closed manifolds. Indeed the holonomy representation would be injective, so the fundamental group has to be linear, but finitely generated linear groups are residually finite, according to classical results of Malcev, and hence they cannot be infinite and simple. Kamishima ([22]), improving previous work by Goldman ([14]) for virtually nilpotent groups, showed that a closed conformally flat n-manifold with virtually solvable fundamental group is conformally equivalent to a n-spherical space form, an Euclidean space-form or it is finitely covered by some Hopf manifold $S^1 \times S^{n-1}$. Further Goldman and Kamishima in [15] classified up to a finite covering the conformally flat closed manifolds whose universal covering admits a complete conformal Killing vector field, by adding to the previous list the closed hyperbolic manifolds, their products with a circle and conformally homogeneous quotients of the form $(S^n - \lim \Gamma)/\Gamma$, where $\lim \Gamma \subset S^{n-2}$ is the limit set of the conformal holonomy group Γ.

The aim of this paper is to describe the topology of a family of conformally flat manifolds admitting a local vector field with respect to which the curvature is quasi-constant. This hypothesis is similar in spirit to the one used by Goldman and Kamishima ([15]), but in

Date: Preliminary version of April 8, 2016.

our case the vector field is not a conformal Killing field, in general, and the methods of the proof are rather different coming from foliations and Cheeger-Gromov's theory.

The spaces under consideration are closed manifolds admitting a Riemannian metric of quasi-constant sectional curvature of dimension $n \geq 3$. These spaces were first studied from a geometrical point of view by Boju and Popescu in [2] and coincide with the k-special conformally flat spaces considered earlier in a different context by B.-Y.Chen and K.Yano ([19, 9]). These were further analyzed by Ganchev and Mihova in [13]. It was noticed in [1, 19] and later in [13] that these are locally conformally flat manifolds for $n \geq 4$.

Definition 1.1. The (metric of the) Riemannian manifold M is *globally* 1-*QC* with respect to a line field ξ, called *distinguished* line field, if the sectional curvature function is constant along a cone of 2-planes making a constant angle $\theta(p) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with ξ, in every point $p \in M$. Furthermore the metric is *globally* 1-QC if there exists some line field ξ and an angle function θ as above.

The original definition in [2, 13] (see also the comments of the last section in [2]) used a vector field instead of line field and is too restrictive for our purposes.

Recall that a *line* field is a section of the projectivized tangent bundle. A line field ξ on M determines a real line bundle, still denoted ξ over M. Its first Stiefel-Whitney class $w_1(\xi) \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes if and only if ξ has a section, namely a non-zero vector field $\widehat{\xi}$ defining the same line field. When this is the case, the line field will be called *orientable* and such a vector field $\widehat{\xi}$ is called a *lift* of the line field. When ξ is non-orientable, its pull-back to the 2-fold cover $\widehat{M}$ of M associated to the class $w_1(\xi)$ is orientable and defines a vector field $\widehat{\xi}$ on M. We call $\widehat{\xi}$ the distinguished vector field associated to the globally 1-QC manifold with distinguished line field ξ.

The topology of globally 1-QC manifolds is rather simple, as we will see that they must be 2-fold covered by cylinders. Further the geometry of globally 1-QC manifolds with orientable line field was completely described under an additional condition (namely, that the distinguished field be geodesic) in ([13], section 6): these are precisely the warped product Riemannian manifolds between some closed space form and an interval, and also appeared as the so-called sub-projective spaces. Notice that the vector field ξ is (locally) conformally Killing if and only if it is Killing and iff ξ is geodesic. The structure of conformally flat closed manifolds whose universal covering have a conformal vector field was described by Goldman and Kamishima (see [15]).

It is proved in [2] that the sectional curvature of a globally 1-QC manifold is constant along any cone of 2-planes making a constant angle in $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ with ξ, in every point.

In order to enlarge the number of possible topologies arising in the definition above we slightly weaken it as follows:

Definition 1.2. The (metric of the) Riemannian manifold M is called

(1) *local* 1-*QC*, if any point of M has an open neighborhood which is globally 1-QC with respect to the induced metric.

(2) 1-*QC* if any *non-isotropic* point for the sectional curvature function has an open neighborhood which is locally 1-QC.

Recall that a point is called *isotropic* if all tangent 2-planes have the same sectional curvature. Observe that a local 1-QC manifold is a 1-QC manifold.

The sectional curvature N and H of 2-planes containing and respectively orthogonal to the line field ξ will be called *vertical* and *horizontal* curvature functions, respectively. It will be shown in the next section that both H and N extend smoothly to all of M, when the manifold is 1-QC.

Definition 1.3. A *graph of space forms* is a closed manifold M which can be obtained from a finite set of compact space forms with totally umbilical boundary components by gluing isometrically interval bundles (twisted cylinders) along boundary components. The pieces will be accordingly called *vertex* manifolds and *edge* manifolds or tubes.

Note that the induced metric on the graph of space forms is not necessarily smooth and its diffeomorphism type depends on additional choices, like the gluing maps.

Our first result is a rather general description of the topology of the local 1-QC spaces:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M^n be a closed local 1-QC n-manifold, $n \geq 3$, whose metric is assumed conformally flat, when $n = 3$. Then M is diffeomorphic to a graph of space forms.*

Definition 1.4. An n-sphere with 1-handles (possibly unoriented) is a manifold diffeomorphic to the connected sum of manifolds diffeomorphic to either $S^1 \times S^{n-1}$ or $S^1 \times_{-1} S^{n-1}$. Here $S^1 \times_{-1} S^{n-1}$ denotes the (generalized) Klein bottle fibering on the circle and having monodromy map of degree -1.

The curvature leaves function λ is defined at non-isotropic points (see also the section 2.6) by:

$$\lambda = H + \frac{\| \operatorname{grad} H \|^2}{4(H-N)^2}$$

Our second result aims to extend Theorem 1.1 to the case of 1-QC manifolds.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M^n, $n \geq 3$, be a closed n-manifold with infinite torsion-free $\pi_1(M)$, admitting a 1-QC metric with orientable distinguished line field, which is conformally flat when $n = 3$. Moreover, suppose $\lambda > 0$ on $M - C$ and that every tangent 2-plane at an isotropic point has positive sectional curvature. Then M is diffeomorphic to a n-sphere with 1-handles, when $C \neq \emptyset$, or a spherical bundle over the circle, otherwise.*

Remark 1.1. If $\pi_1(M)$ is finite and M is a closed 1-QC manifold, then its universal covering $\widetilde{M}$ is also closed and hence conformally equivalent to the round sphere by Kuiper's theorem (see [24]).

Remark 1.2. If $H, N > 0$, then classical results of Bochner, Lichnerowicz and Myers show that the manifold is a homology sphere with finite fundamental group. In particular manifolds with a positive number of 1-handles in theorem 1.2 cannot have metrics with positive N.

Remark 1.3. If $(n-2)H + 2N \geq 0$ then M^n is conformally equivalent to a Kleinian quotient (see [37], Thm. 4.5). Moreover, if the inequality above is strict, then $\pi_j(M) = 0$, for $2 \leq j \leq n/2$ (see [37], Thm. 4.6).

Throughout this paper (local) 1-QC manifold or space will mean a manifold which admits a Riemannian (local) 1-QC metric.

Acknowledgements. The author is indebted to Gerard Besson, Zindine Djadli, Bill Goldman, Vlad Sergiescu and Ser Peow Tan for useful discussions and to the referee for helpful suggestions. The author was partially supported by the ANR 2011 BS 01 020 01 ModGroup and he thanks the Erwin Schrödinger Institute for hospitality and support.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Isotropic points of local 1-QC manifolds.

Lemma 2.1. *If M is locally 1-QC with respect to distinct distinguished line fields at p then p is an isotropic point.*

Proof. Let X and Y be unit vectors representing the distinguished lines fields at p. According to [2] the curvature of a 2-plane σ is given by

$$k(\sigma) = H_X \sin^2 \angle(X,\sigma) + N_X \cos^2 \angle(X,\sigma) = H_Y \sin^2 \angle(Y,\sigma) + N_Y \cos^2 \angle(Y,\sigma),$$

where H_X, N_X and H_Y, N_Y are the vertical and horizontal curvatures associated to the respective lines fields.

Take σ to be the span of the orthogonal unit vectors X and Z. If g denotes the metric on M, then:

$$k(\sigma) = H_Y + (g(Y,X)^2 + g(Y,Z)^2)(N_Y - H_Y) = H_X.$$

If $H_Y = N_Y$ then p is isotropic. Otherwise $g(Y,Z)^2$ should not depend on the choice of Z orthogonal to X and hence $g(Y,Z) = 0$, so that the lines defined by Y and X coincide, contradicting our hypothesis. □

Lemma 2.2. *If M is a compact locally 1-QC manifold then the functions H and N are well-defined smooth functions on M.*

Proof. For any $p \in M$ there is a line field ξ_U defined on an open neighborhood U of p such that the metric is globally 1-QC on U relative to ξ_U. This provides the smooth curvature functions H_U and N_U on U. We extract a finite covering $\{U_i\}$ of M by such open sets.

If $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ we set

$$C_{U_iU_j} = \{p \in U_i \cap U_j; \xi_{U_i}|_p \neq \xi_{U_j}|_p\}$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1 every point $p \in C_{U_iU_j}$ is isotropic for the sectional curvature. This implies that

$$H_{U_i}(p) = N_{U_i}(p) = H_{U_j}(p) = N_{U_j}(p).$$

Further, if $p \in U_i \cap U_j \setminus C_{U_iU_j}$, then $\xi_{U_i}|_p = \xi_{U_j}|_p$ and thus $H_{U_i}(p) = H_{U_j}(p)$, $N_{U_i}(p) = N_{U_j}(p)$.

Therefore we have a finite collection of smooth functions H_{U_i} and N_{U_i} whose restrictions to intersections $U_i \cap U_j$ coincide. Thus they define smooth functions on M. □

Let C denotes the closed subset of M of isotropic points for the sectional curvature.

Lemma 2.3. *If M is a compact locally 1-QC manifold then the distinguished line field ξ is well-defined on an open set $U \supset \overline{M - C}$.*

Proof. Consider a finite open cover of M by globally 1-QC subsets $\{U_i\}$, as above. We take a refined open cover $\{V_j\}$ such that every $\overline{V_j}$ is contained into some U_i. If $p \in (V_i \cap V_j) \setminus C$, then $\xi_{V_i}|_p = \xi_{V_j}|_p$, by Lemma 2.1. This closed condition is therefore valid for any point $p \in \overline{(V_i \cap V_j) \setminus C}$. It follows that the line fields ξ_{V_i} agree at any point $p \in \overline{M - C}$. They define therefore a distinguished line field on $\overline{M - C}$.

When the line field is orientable, it can be extended to an open set U containing $\overline{M - C}$, by a classical partition of unity argument. Otherwise we construct an extension on the 2-fold cover associated to the first Stiefel-Whitney class of ξ and then project it down to M. □

2.2. **Weitzenböck curvatures.** The m-th Weitzenböck curvature were explicitly computed for manifolds with vanishing Weyl tensor by Guan and Wang ([18]), as follows.

Let Δ be the Hodge-de Rham Laplacian $\Delta = dd^* + d^*d$ and $\nabla^*\nabla$ be the Bochner Laplacian of the Riemannian manifold M. Recall that $\nabla : \Omega^m(M) \to T^*(M) \otimes \Omega^m(M)$ is the covariant derivative induced by the Levi-Civita connection on the space of m-forms $\Omega^m(M)$ and ∇^* its adjoint, namely

$$\nabla^*\omega(X_1, \dots, X_m) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{e_i}\omega(e_i, X_1, \dots, X_m),$$

for any vector fields X_j and an orthonormal local basis $\{e_i\}$.

If ω is a m-form, then the Weitzenböck formula states that:

$$\Delta\omega = \nabla^*\nabla + \mathcal{R}_m\omega.$$

Here $\mathcal{R}_m$ is the m-th Weitzenböck curvature operator, namely a degree zero pseudo-differential operator determined by the Riemann tensor:

$$\mathcal{R}_m\omega = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (R(e_i, X_j)\omega)(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, e_i, X_{j+1} \dots, X_m)$$

The Riemannian connection induces a metric connection in the bundle $\Omega^m(M)$ and its curvature R used above is a section of $\Omega^2(M) \otimes \Omega^m(M)$.

Let now consider the real function defined on M by the formula:

$$G_m = \left((n-p) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i + p \sum_{i=p+1}^{n} \lambda_i \right),$$

where $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of the Schouten operator S defined as

$$S(X,Y) = \frac{1}{n-2}\left(Ric(X,Y) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg(X,Y)\right)$$

in terms of the Ricci tensor Ric and the scalar curvature R. Assume that the Weyl tensor of M vanishes so that the Riemann tensor satisfies the following identity (see [30]):

$$R(X,Y,Z,T) = g(Y,Z)S(X,T) - g(X,Z)S(Y,T) + g(X,T)S(Y,Z) - g(Y,T)S(X,Z)$$

It follows by direct calculation (see [18], Appendix) that $\mathcal{R}_m\omega = G_m\omega$. In particular we obtain the following:

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be a Riemannian n-manifold, $n \geq 3$, whose Weyl tensor vanishes. Then the function $G_m : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth.*

2.3. **Isotropic points of 1-QC manifolds.** Let M be a 1-QC manifold and keep the notation C for the closed set of its isotropic points. According to the definition $M - C$ is locally 1-QC. However, from the proof of Lemma 2.2 $M - C$ is a globally 1-QC manifold and H, N are smooth functions on $M - C$.

Lemma 2.5. *The Weyl tensor of M vanishes.*

Proof. This is known for points of $M - C$ (see [1, 13]) and is classical for isotropic points. □

Let $\widehat{\xi}$ be a locally defined unit vector field which determines the line field ξ. Moreover, we denote by the same letter $\widehat{\xi}$ an arbitrary extension (not necessarily continuous) to all of M.

Lemma 2.6. *If M is a compact 1-QC manifold then the functions H and N have a smooth extension to M.*

Proof. Let R denote the scalar curvature and Ric the Ricci curvature. We set then:

$$H(p) = N(p) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)}R(p), \text{ if } p \in C.$$

The formulas for the Ricci tensor from [1, 2] imply that

$$Ric(X,Y) = ((n-2)H + N)g(X,Y) + (n-2)(N-H)g(X,\widehat{\xi})g(Y,\widehat{\xi})$$

at all points $p \in M - C$. Note that $g(X,\widehat{\xi})g(Y,\widehat{\xi})$ is well-defined on $M - C$ and independent on the choice of the vector field $\widehat{\xi}$. Nevertheless this formula makes sense and holds at points $p \in C$, as well.

The Ricci operator has eigenvalues $(n-2)H + N$ and $(n-1)N$ with multiplicities $n-1$ and 1, respectively at points of $M - C$ and $(n-2)H + N = (n-1)N$ with multiplicity n at points of C.

The Schouten tensor S is from above:

$$S(X,Y) = \frac{H}{2}g(X,Y) + (N-H)g(X,\widehat{\xi})g(Y,\widehat{\xi}).$$

The eigenvalues of the Schouten operator are therefore $\frac{H}{2}$ and $N-\frac{H}{2}$, with multiplicities $n-1$ and 1, respectively at points of $M-C$ and $\frac{H}{2}=N-\frac{H}{2}$ with multiplicity n at points of C.

According to Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 the function G_m is smooth on M. An explicit calculation shows that:

$$G_1 = N + (n-2)\min(H,N), \text{for } n \geq 3,$$

$$G_2 = 2N + (n-2)H + (n-4)\min(H,N) = \frac{R}{n-1} + (n-4)\min(H,N), \text{for } n \geq 3,$$

$$G_3 = 3N + 2(n-3)H + (n-6)\min(H,N), \text{for } n \geq 4.$$

If $n \neq 4$, since R, G_1 and G_2 are smooth, we derive that $\min(H,N)$ and then N and H are smooth functions on M. When $n=4$, $G_1+G_3 = 2H+4N$ and $\frac{R}{3} = 2N+2H$ are smooth, so H, N and $\min(H,N)$ are smooth. □

Remark 2.1. The above extensions of H and N are the unique continuous extensions to $\overline{M-C}$.

Remark 2.2. Observe that any open set of isotropic points is actually of constant curvature, by F. Schur's theorem ([38]).

2.4. **Conformal flatness.** A *locally conformally flat space* has a Riemannian metric which is locally conformal to the Euclidean metric. We will drop the adjective *local* from now on in the sequel. It is well-known that all rational Pontryagin forms, and hence classes, vanish on conformally flat manifolds, see [10, 27]. Conversely, it seems unknown whether conformally flat manifolds admit conformally flat metrics for which the curvature tensor in suitable basis has all its components with 3 and 4 distinct indices vanishing. An instructive example of this type are the spaces of quasi-constant curvature.

We define the *horizontal distribution* $\mathcal{D}$ to be the orthogonal complement to the distinguished line field ξ. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is well-defined on $M-C$.

One important ingredient used in this paper is the following easy observation (see also [1, 13]):

Lemma 2.7. (1) *1-QC manifolds of dimension $n \geq 4$ are conformally flat.*
(2) *1-QC manifolds of dimension $n=3$ are conformally flat if and only if the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is completely integrable on $M-C$.*

Proof. This is already known when the dimension $n \geq 4$ because the Weyl tensor vanishes (see [1, 13]).

By the Weyl-Schouten theorem (see [30], section C, Thm. 9) the 3-manifold M is conformally flat iff the so called Cotton-Schouten tensor vanishes, namely if:

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) = 0.$$

Given $p \in M-C$, let U be a disk neighborhood of p within $M-C$. Define the 1-form η on U by $\eta(X) = g(\widehat{\xi|_U}, X)$, where $\widehat{\xi|_U}$ is a vector field generating $\xi|_U$. Then the horizontal

distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is the kernel of η on U. The vanishing of the Cotton-Schouten tensor on U is equivalent to:

$$dH(X)g(Y,Z) - dH(Y)g(X,Z) + 2(N-H)d\eta(X,Y)\eta(Z) + \\ +2(N-H)\left(\eta(Y)(\nabla_X\eta)Z - \eta(X)(\nabla_Y\eta)Z\right) + \\ +2\left(d(N-H)(X)\eta(Y) - d(N-H)(Y)\eta(X)\right)\eta(Z) = 0$$

Using all possibilities for choosing X, Y, Z among the elements of a basis of the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{D}$ or $\widehat{\xi|_U}$ it follows that this is equivalent to the system of the following three equations:

$$dH = \widehat{\xi|_U}(H)\eta;$$

$$\nabla_X\widehat{\xi|_U} = \frac{\widehat{\xi|_U}(H)}{2(N-H)}X, \text{ if } X \in \mathcal{D};$$

$$d\eta(X,Y) = 0, \text{ if } X, Y \in \mathcal{D}.$$

The first two equations are always verified by using the method of [2, 13] in all dimensions $n \geq 3$. The third one is equivalent to the fact that the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is completely integrable on U. Thus $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable if M is conformally flat.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable, the Cotton-Schoten tensor vanishes on $M - C$, and hence on $\overline{M-C}$. On the other hand $M - \overline{M-C}$ coincides with the set $\mathrm{int}(C)$ of interior points of C, namely those points of C having an open neighborhood in M which is contained in C. By Schur's theorem $H = N$ are constant in any connected component of $\mathrm{int}(C)$ and in particular the Cotton-Schouten tensor vanishes. This proves that M is conformally flat. □

Remark 2.3. One cannot dispose of the integrability condition for $\mathcal{D}$ when $n = 3$. In fact, any closed 3-dimensional nilmanifold is covered by the Heisenberg group, endowed with a left invariant Riemannian metric. Then the tangent space at each point is identified to the nilpotent Lie algebra generated by X, Y, ξ with relations $[X,Y] = \xi$, $[X,\xi] = [Y,\xi] = 0$. The horizontal distribution corresponds to the subspace generated by X, Y and is well-known to be non-integrable. However, the left invariant Riemannian metric on the Heisenberg group is globally 1-QC, as we can compute $H = -\frac{1}{4}$ and $N = \frac{3}{4}$. This furnishes the typical example of a closed 1-QC 3-manifold which is not conformally flat. In fact closed nilmanifolds are not conformally flat (see [14]) unless they are finitely covered by a sphere, a torus or $S^1 \times S^2$.

2.5. **Isometric immersions of 1-QC manifolds.** One important tool which will be used throughout this paper is the codimension one immersability of simply connected 1-QC manifolds. Let $\mathbb{H}^m_\kappa$ denote the m-dimensional hyperbolic space of constant sectional curvature $-\kappa$, when $\kappa > 0$ and the Euclidean space when $\kappa = 0$, respectively. For a 1-QC manifold M^n we denote

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} 1 - \inf_{p\in M} H(p), & \text{when } \inf_{p\in M} H(p) \leq 0; \\ 0, & \text{when } \inf_{p\in M} H(p) > 0. \end{cases}$$

The main result of this section is:

Proposition 2.8. *Assume that M^n, $n \geq 3$, admits a 1-QC metric which is conformally flat when $n = 3$. Then there exists an equivariant isometric immersion, namely an isometric immersion $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, and a group homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to SO(n+1,1)$ such that*

$$f(\gamma \cdot x) = \rho(\gamma) \cdot f(x), x \in \widetilde{M}, \gamma \in \pi_1(M)$$

where the action on the right side is the action by isometries of $SO(n+1,1)$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ while the left side action is by deck transformations on the universal covering.

Proof. The universal covering $\widetilde{M}$ is a 1-QC manifold with the induced metric by the covering $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$.

Every $p \in M - C$ has an open contractible neighborhood such that the line field ξ can be lifted to a vector field $\widehat{\xi}_U$. We derive a smooth tensor $h'_U(X,Y) = g(\widehat{\xi}_U, X)g(\widehat{\xi}_U, Y)$ on U. If $p \in U \cap V$ then $\widehat{\xi}_U|_p = \pm\widehat{\xi}_V|_p$ and thus $h'_U(X,Y)|_p = h'_V(X,Y)|_p$, for any $p \in U \cap V$. Thus the collection h'_U, where $\{U\}$ is a covering of $M - C$ defines a smooth tensor field h' on $M - C$.

Define now the following smooth symmetric (1,1) tensor field on M pointwise, by means of the formula:

$$h(X,Y)|_p = \begin{cases} \sqrt{H+\kappa} \cdot g(X,Y)|_p + \frac{N-H}{\sqrt{H+\kappa}} \cdot h'(X,Y)|_p, & \text{if } p \in M - C; \\ \sqrt{H+\kappa} \cdot g(X,Y)|_p, & \text{if } p \in C, \end{cases}$$

and also denote by h the pull-back to $\widetilde{M}$.

One verifies immediately the Gauss and Codazzi-Mainardi equations associated to the tensor h, namely:

$$R(X,Y,Z,W) = -\kappa\left(g(Y,Z)g(X,W) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W)\right) + h(Y,Z)h(X,W) - h(X,Z)h(Y,W)$$

$$\nabla_X h(Y,Z) = \nabla_Y h(X,Z)$$

This follows from the second Bianchi identity of the curvature tensor, when $n \geq 4$ and from the vanishing of the Cotton-Schouten tensor in dimension 3. The second equality is treated as in the proof of lemma 2.7 by noticing that only the last condition discussed there has to be verified, the other ones being automatic.

Therefore, by the fundamental theorem for submanifolds of constant curvature space, (see [40], chap.7, section C, Thm. 21) $\widetilde{M}$ admits an isometric immersion $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, whose second fundamental form is h.

The second fundamental form h on $\widetilde{M}$ is invariant by the action of the deck group, by construction. This means that there exist open neighborhoods of x and $\gamma \cdot x$ which are isometric. Let $\rho(\gamma)_x$ be the global isometry sending one neighborhood onto the other. Now $\rho(\gamma)_x$ is locally constant, as a function of x, and hence it is independent on x. Furthermore $\rho(\gamma)$ is uniquely defined by the requirement to send $n+1$ points x_i in general position in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, which belong to a small open neighborhood of x into their respective images $\gamma \cdot x_i$. Therefore, if the image of the immersion is not contained in a hyperbolic hyperplane then $\rho(\gamma)$ is unique and this also implies that ρ is a group homomorphism. In the remaining case M is hyperbolic and the result follows again. □

Remark 2.4. The immersability result holds also when M^n is not necessarily compact, provided $\inf_{p\in M} H(p)$ exists.

Contrasting with the 2-dimensional case, but in accordance with Schur's theorem the space of such isometric immersion is discrete. The first and simplest case is:

Proposition 2.9. *Suppose that $n \geq 4$. If κ is such that $H + \kappa > 0$ on M there exists an unique isometric immersion $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, up to an isometry (possibly reversing the orientation) of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$.*

Proof. If h is the second fundamental form of such an immersion, then linear algebra computations show that the Gauss equation already determine h up to a sign. A hyperbolic isometry reversing orientation will change the sign of h. Now an isometric immersion is uniquely determined by its second fundamental form. □

Remark 2.5. The same argument shows that there does not exist any isometric immersion in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{\kappa'}$ around a point where $H + \kappa' \leq 0$.

Conversely we have:

Proposition 2.10. *If the conformally flat manifold M^n, $n \geq 4$, admits an equivariant isometric immersion $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, and a group homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to SO(n + 1, 1)$ such that*

$$f(\gamma \cdot x) = \rho(\gamma) \cdot f(x), x \in \widetilde{M}, \gamma \in \pi_1(M)$$

then M is 1-QC.

Proof. According to Cartan (see [5] and [30], Thm. 4) at each point $p \in \widetilde{M}$ there exists a principal curvature μ (i.e. an eigenvalue of the second fundamental form) of the immersed manifold of multiplicity at least $n - 1$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the open set of non-umbilical points, namely those for which μ has multiplicity exactly $n - 1$. The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is the eigenspace for the shape operator corresponding to the multiple eigenvalue. From Gauss' equations we obtain that $\mathcal{N}$ is globally 1-QC, with distinguished line field given by the normal line field to $\mathcal{D}$. The complement of $\mathcal{N}$ is totally umbilic in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ and hence it has constant curvature. Since the immersion is equivariant these properties descend to M. □

Remark 2.6. Note that the statement of Proposition 2.10 is not true when $n = 3$ as Cartan's theorem does not extend to $n = 3$ (see [30]).

2.6. **The leaf curvature function λ.** Let M be a 1-QC manifold, which is assumed to be conformally flat, when $n = 3$. It is proved in ([2], p.379) and [13] that the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is completely integrable for $n \geq 4$, while for $n = 3$ this follows from our assumptions by Lemma 2.7, and thus $\mathcal{D}$ defines a foliation of $M - C$. The leaves of the foliation will be called *curvature leaves*. According to [2, 13] every curvature leaf is a totally umbilical submanifold of M of intrinsic constant curvature equal to:

$$\lambda = H + \frac{\widehat{\xi}(H)^2}{4(N - H)^2}$$

This is independent on the choice of a local distinguished unit vector field $\widehat{\xi}$ determining ξ.

Lemma 2.11. *If M is a local 1-QC manifold then there is a smooth extension of λ to some open set $U \supset \overline{M}$.*

Proof. For every point we choose an open neighborhood $U \subset M$ of p which is contractible so that the distinguished line field ξ_U is defined and can be lifted to a vector field $\widehat{\xi}_U$. If X_U is a unit vector field on U orthogonal to $\widehat{\xi}_U$ and part of a holonomic basis of the tangent bundle, then we have (see [2, 13]):

$$\frac{\widehat{\xi}_U(H)}{2(N-H)}|_p = g(\nabla_{X_U}\widehat{\xi}_U, X_U)|_p, \text{ for } p \in U \cap M - C.$$

The function $(g(\nabla_{X_U}\widehat{\xi}_U, X_U))^2$ is smooth and well-defined on U, namely independent on the choice of the lift $\widehat{\xi}_U$. We set therefore

$$\lambda_U(p) = \begin{cases} \lambda(p), & \text{if } p \in U \cap M - C; \\ H + (g(\nabla_{X_U}\widehat{\xi}_U, X_U))^2, & \text{if } p \in U \cap C \cap \overline{M-C}, \end{cases}$$

where X_U is an arbitrary smooth unit vector field on U orthogonal to $\widehat{\xi}_U$. Then λ_U is a smooth function on U.

Consider an open covering $\{U_i\}$ by open sets as above of M. Let $U \supset \overline{M-C}$ be the open set on which ξ is well-defined, as provided by Lemma 2.3. If U_i, U_j are two such open sets and $p \in U_i \cap U_j \cap \overline{M-C}$, then Lemma 2.3 implies that $\widehat{\xi}_{U_i}|_p = \pm\widehat{\xi}_{U_j}|_p$, so that

$$(g(\nabla_{X_{U_i}}\widehat{\xi}_{U_i}, X_{U_i}))^2|_p = (g(\nabla_{X_{U_j}}\widehat{\xi}_{U_j}, X_{U_j}))^2|_p, \text{ if } p \in U_i \cap U_j \cap U.$$

Therefore the collection λ_{U_i}, where $\{U_i\}$ is a finite covering of M by such open sets, defines a smooth extension $\lambda : U \to \mathbb{R}$. □

We also denote by α the quantity $g(\nabla_X\widehat{\xi}_U, X)$ in the sequel, so that curvature leaves are totally umbilical with principal curvatures equal to $\pm\alpha$.

Remark 2.7. Lemma 2.11 shows that $\lambda(p)$ remains bounded as p approaches C.

Remark 2.8. Recall also (see [2, 13]) that

$$|\widehat{\xi}(H)| = \| \text{ grad } H \|$$

and the function λ is constant on the level hypersurfaces of H.

3. The topology of globally 1-QC manifolds

3.1. **Globally 1-QC manifolds with orientable line field.** The purpose of this section is to prove that the globally 1-QC manifolds have a rather simple topological structure. Let E be a connected component of $M - C$, where M is 1-QC. In order to have a uniform approach we denote by $\widehat{E}$ the 2-fold cover determined by the first Stiefel-Whitney class of $\xi|_E$, when $\xi|_E$ is non-orientable and E itself otherwise.

Following [36] the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{D}$ will be called *curvature leaves*, as the principal curvatures are constant along them.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\widehat{E}$ is closed then it is diffeomorphic to a fibration over S^1. If $\widehat{E}$ is non-compact then $\widehat{E}$ is diffeomorphic to $\widehat{P}\times\mathbb{R}$, where $\widehat{P}$ is a closed space form.*

Corollary 3.1. If E is a connected component of $M-C$ then $\lambda(p)$ is either positive, or negative, or else vanishes for all $p\in E$.

Proof. With respect to the pull-back metric to the cover the slices $\widehat{P}\times\{a\}$ of $\widehat{E}$ have constant curvature λ. But a closed manifold $\widehat{P}$ cannot support constant curvature metrics with different signs. In fact $\pi_1(\widehat{P})$ is either hyperbolic and not virtually cyclic, virtually abelian or finite, according to whether λ is negative, null or positive. □

Our key ingredient is the following:

Proposition 3.2. *Leaves of the curvature foliation on $\widehat{E}$ are compact.*

Proof of proposition 3.1 assuming proposition 3.2. The strong form of the global Reeb stability theorem states that a codimension one transversely orientable foliation whose leaves are all compact is locally a fibration and the holonomy groups are finite (see [31, 12]). □

3.2. **Novikov components.** We recall now that two points of a foliated manifold are *Novikov equivalent* if they belong to the same leaf or to a closed transversal. An equivalence class of points is called a *Novikov component* of the foliation. We will use the following classical result due to Novikov ([33]):

Lemma 3.3 ([33])**.** *Every Novikov component is either a compact leaf which does not admit any closed transversal or else an open codimension zero submanifold whose closure has finitely many boundary components consisting of compact leaves.*

3.3. **Proof of proposition 3.2.** The function $H:M\to\mathbb{R}$ is smooth and proper, because M is compact. Then, its critical values are nowhere dense and the preimage of any non-critical value is a codimension one compact submanifold of M. A curvature leaf L will be called *non-critical* if $H(L)$ is a non-critical value. We want to stress that a curvature leaf L is contained in $M-C$.

Lemma 3.4. *A non-critical curvature leave L is a connected component of $H^{-1}(H(L))$, and in particular it is compact.*

Proof. If $\pi:\widetilde{M}\to M$ is the universal covering projection, then each component of the preimage $\pi^{-1}(L)$ of L in $\widetilde{M}$ is complete, following Reckziegel ([36]). This implies that the leaf L itself should be geodesically complete, as otherwise we could adjoin additional limit points to $\pi^{-1}(L)$ contradicting its completeness. Thus L is complete with respect to its intrinsic metric.

As a word of warning the projection π is not a closed map, if the covering is of infinite degree. In particular, L is not necessarily a complete subspace of M and in particular it might not to be a closed subset of M if the inclusion of L into M is not proper.

If $H(L)$ is a non-critical value of H then L is a codimension zero submanifold of the manifold $H^{-1}(H(L))$. The level hypersurface $H^{-1}(H(L))$ has an induced Riemannian metric from M whose restriction to its open subset L coincides with the intrinsic metric of L. Therefore L is a complete subspace of the compact space $H^{-1}(H(L))$ and hence it has also to be closed. This implies that L must be a connected component of the level hypersurface $H^{-1}(H(L))$ and hence compact. □

Lemma 3.5. *A critical curvature leaf L is totally geodesic.*

Proof. When $H(L) = c_0$ is a critical value of H there exists some $p \in L$ such that $dH|_p = 0$. Since $p \in M - C$, we can write $dH = \widehat{\xi}(H)\eta$, for some locally defined vector field $\widehat{\xi}$ lifting the line field ξ. Thus $\nabla_X \widehat{\xi} = \alpha X = \frac{\widehat{\xi}(H)}{N-H} X$ vanishes at p, for any horizontal vector field X. In particular $\alpha(p) = 0$ and $\lambda(p) = H(p)$, according to the proof of Lemma 2.11. Since λ and H are constant on a curvature leaf it follows that $\lambda(q) = H(q) = c_0$, for any $q \in L$ and thus the curvature leaf L is totally geodesic. □

Assume for the moment that H is not globally constant on E. Suppose that there exist non-critical values $c_\pm$ with $c_- < c_0 < c_+$, with $|c_+ - c_-|$ arbitrarily small. Therefore $H^{-1}([c_-, c_+])$ is a compact submanifold with boundary foliated by the curvature leaves, each boundary component being a leaf.

Suppose now that the critical leaf L is not compact. It follows that L is contained into some Novikov component U, whose closure $\overline{U} \subset H^{-1}([c_-, c_+]) \cap E$ is compact. Observe that a Novikov component is a saturated closed subset. Furthermore U cannot contain any compact leaf and thus all leaves contained in U must be non-compact. Since every leaf in U approaches some boundary leaf it follows also that H must be constant on $\overline{U}$. Moreover, from Lemma 3.5 all leaves, including the boundary ones, in $\overline{U}$ are totally geodesic.

We denote by V the pull-back of $\overline{U} \subset E$ to $\widehat{E}$. The cover $\widehat{E}$ is endowed with a pull-back metric and a completely integrable distribution still denoted g and $\mathcal{D}$, respectively.

Lemma 3.6. *Every connected component of V is diffeomorphic to a cylinder foliated as a product.*

Proof. The codimension one foliation of V is transversely orientable and totally geodesic. According to ([3], Prop. II.2) such a foliation with a compact leaf is a fibration over an interval. □

In particular all leaves of the foliation of $\overline{U}$ are compact (see also [21]), contradicting the assumption that L is not compact.

It remains to analyze the case where we cannot find non-critical values $c_\pm$ with $c_- < c_0 < c_+$, with $|c_+ - c_-|$ arbitrarily small. Thus c_0 is either the minimum or the maximum of $H|_E$ (or both). In this case we let V be the pull-back to the 2-fold cover of $H|_E^{-1}(c_0)$.

Since the vector field $\widehat{\xi}$ is geodesic we have for any horizontal vector field X that:

$$d\eta(\widehat{\xi}, X) = g(\nabla_{\widehat{\xi}}\widehat{\xi}, X) = 0$$

Therefore

$$0 = d\eta(\widehat{\xi}, X) = X\eta(\widehat{\xi}) + \widehat{\xi}\eta(X) - \eta([\widehat{\xi}, X]) = -\eta([\widehat{\xi}, X])$$

so that $[\widehat{\xi}, D] \subset D$. It is known (see [4], Prop. 1.2.21) that this a necessary and sufficient condition for the one parameter flow associated to the vector field $\widehat{\xi}$ to send diffeomorphically leaves onto leaves.

Therefore N is diffeomorphic to $L \times (0,1)$, where L is an arbitrary leaf. Furthermore η is closed and moreover by ([13], equations (3.8), (3.12)) we have

$$N = -\widehat{\xi}(\alpha) - \alpha^2 = 0.$$

By our assumptions on c_0, $\overline{V} \cap C \neq \emptyset$. When points in V approach $p \in C$ the functions H and N approach the same value $H(p) = N(p)$. Since $H|_V = c_0$ and $N|_V = 0$ we have $c_0 = 0$. But then all points in $V \subset E$ would also be isotropic, contradicting our assumption that $E \subset M - C$. This ends the proof of proposition 3.2.

3.4. **The limit leaves.** The *limit subspace* of a 1-QC manifold is the compact set $\mathcal{L} = \overline{M - C} \cap C$. When the manifold is local 1-QC this limit set has a simple structure, as follows:

Proposition 3.7. *If M is a local 1-QC manifold, then the limit subspace $\mathcal{L}$ is a lamination with compact smooth leaves. In particular $\overline{M - C}$ and the connected components of $\overline{\mathrm{int}(C)}$ are compact manifolds with boundary.*

Proof. The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ and the line field ξ extend to an open subset $U \supset \overline{M - C}$. It follows that the integral variety L_p of $\mathcal{D}$ passing through $p \in \overline{M - C} \cap C$ is a smooth manifold.

Let E be a connected component of $M - C$ and W be an open subset containing $\overline{E}$ such that $\overline{W} \subset U$. According to Haefliger's stability theorem (see [4], Thm.6.1.1) the union of compact leaves of $\mathcal{D}$ in W is compact. Since a limit leaf L_p, for $p \in \overline{E} \cap C$ is the limit of curvature leaves in E approaching p, we find that L_p is compact. Moreover, L_p is also totally umbilical.

Therefore $\overline{E} \subset W$ is the union of E and a number of limit leaves L_p, for $p \in \overline{E} \cap C$. In particular each $\overline{E}$ is a compact manifold. Therefore $\mathcal{L}$ is a lamination.

We can be more precise about the topology of $\overline{E}$. Let $\widehat{\overline{W}}$ be 2-fold covering corresponding to $w_1(\xi|_{\overline{W}})$. Therefore the pull-back of the foliation $\mathcal{D}$ to $\widehat{\overline{W}}$ is transversely orientable and thus by Haefliger's stability theorem (see [4], Thm.6.1.1) the union of compact leaves of given homeomorphism type of $\widehat{\overline{W}}$ is also compact. As $\widehat{E}$ is diffeomorphic to a cylinder the boundary leaves are homeomorphic to a leaf of E. Since they are smooth space forms, they must be diffeomorphic. This implies that $\overline{\widehat{E}}$ is diffeomorphic to a cylinder. It follows that $\overline{E}$ is a manifold (see Proposition 3.8). □

We say that leaves L in $\mathcal{L}$ are *double limit leaves* if they are contained in $\overline{\mathrm{int}(C)} \cap \overline{M-C}$. The closure $\overline{M-C}$ must have only finitely many connected components. However $\mathcal{L}$ might have infinitely many connected components, for instance an infinite union of compact leaves accumulating on a compact leaf. However these leaves are contained in $\mathrm{int}(\overline{M-C})$ and are not double limit leaves. In fact the boundary of $\overline{M-C}$ consists of the double limit leaves.

3.5. Globally 1-QC components of local 1-QC manifolds with non-orientable line field.

Proposition 3.8. *If $\xi|_E$ is non-orientable then $\overline{E}$ is diffeomorphic to an interval bundle with one boundary component.*

Proof. Recall that $\widehat{E}$ admits a manifold compactification $\overline{\widehat{E}}$ which is diffeomorphic to $\widehat{P} \times [0,1]$, where $\widehat{P}$ is a closed manifold, by the proof of Proposition 3.7. This diffeomorphism is canonical, as trajectories of $\widehat{\xi}$ are horizontal, i.e. of the form $\{p\} \times [0,1]$. The action of the deck group $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of the cover $\overline{\widehat{E}} \to \overline{E}$ is given by a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \widehat{P} \times [0,1] \to \widehat{P} \times [0,1]$. Then φ preserves the boundary of $\overline{\widehat{E}}$.

At first, if $\varphi(\widehat{P} \times \{i\}) = \widehat{P} \times \{i\}$, for $i \in \{0,1\}$, then there is an induced action of $\mathbb{G}$ on each boundary component of $\overline{\widehat{E}}$ and so $\overline{E}$ has two boundary components, namely $(\widehat{P} \times \{i\})/\mathbb{G}$, $i \in \{0,1\}$. Each oriented trajectory of $\widehat{\xi}$ descends to a trajectory of the line field ξ. However we can orient each trajectory of ξ, by declaring that it is issued from $(\widehat{P} \times \{0\})/\mathbb{G}$ and arrives to $(\widehat{P} \times \{1\})/\mathbb{G}$. This provides a lift of ξ to a vector field on $\overline{E}$, contradicting its non-orientability.

Lemma 3.9. *When φ exchanges the two components $P \times \{i\}$, for $i \in \{0,1\}$ it induces a free action of $\mathbb{G}$ on $\widehat{P}$.*

Proof. The quotient manifold $\overline{E}$ has boundary $\partial\overline{E}$ diffeomorphic to $\widehat{P}$. Let $p_E : \overline{\widehat{E}} \to \overline{E}$ denote the projection. We can write $\varphi(x,0) = (\phi(x),1)$, for any $x \in \widehat{P}$, where $\phi : \widehat{P} \to \widehat{P}$ is a diffeomorphism. As ξ is locally orientable, we can consider the trajectory γ of ξ in $\overline{E}$ issued from the point $p_E((x,0)) = p_E((\phi(x),1))$. Then $p_E^{-1}(\gamma)$ is the union of two arcs, say $\widehat{\gamma}_{(x,0)}$ and $\widehat{\gamma}_{(\phi(x),1)}$ which are respectively issued from $(x,0)$ and $(\phi(x),1)$. However, the pull-backs of ξ-trajectories are now trajectories of $\widehat{\xi}$, in particular they are horizontal, i.e. of the form $\{q\} \times [0,1]$. Now, the maximal extensions of these two $\widehat{\xi}$ trajectories are the arcs $\{x\} \times [0,1]$ and $\{\phi(x)\} \times [0,1]$.

If $x = \phi(x)$, then the restriction $p_E : p_E^{-1}(\gamma) = \{x\} \times [0,1] \to \gamma$ cannot be a 2-fold cover, which is a contradiction. Thus $\phi(x) \neq x$, for any x and $p_E^{-1}(\gamma) = \{x\} \times [0,1] \sqcup \{\phi(x)\} \times [0,1]$. This implies that γ intersects again $\partial\overline{E}$, in $p_E((\phi(x),0))$. If we travel backward along γ starting from $p_E((\phi(x),0))$ we have to end at $p_E((\phi,0))$; we derive that $\varphi(\phi(x),0)) = (x,1)$, and thus $\phi(\phi(x)) = x$. Moreover, as $\widehat{\xi}$ is a unit vector field we have more generally that $p_E((x,t)) = p_E((\phi(x),1-t))$.

This shows that $\phi : \widehat{P} \to \widehat{P}$ defines a fixed point free involution. □

Set $P = \widehat{P}/\mathbb{G}$, which is a closed manifold by Lemma 3.9 and let $p_P : \widehat{P} \to P$ be the quotient map.

Given a class $\alpha \in H^1(P, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ we have associated an interval bundle $P \times_\alpha [0,1]$ which is a fibration over P by intervals, whose monodromy homomorphism $\pi_1(P) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (obtained after the identification $\pi_0(\text{Homeo}([0,1])) = \{\pm 1\}$) is given by α.

Lemma 3.10. *There is a diffeomorphism between $\overline{E}$ and $P \times_{w_1(\xi|_E)} [0,1]$.*

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(\widehat{P}) \to \pi_1(P) \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 1$$

and an element $j \in \pi_1(P)$ with $\alpha(j) \neq 1$ is represented by the projection of a loop in $\widehat{P}$ joining x to $\phi(x)$.

The projection map $\widehat{P} \times [0,1] \to P$ sending (x,t) into $p_P(x)$ factors through the quotient $\overline{E} = \widehat{P} \times [0,1]/(x,t) \sim (\phi(x), 1-t)$. The preimage of a point $p_P(x) \in P$ by the induced map $\overline{E} \to P$ is the maximal trajectory arc $\gamma_x \subset \overline{E}$ joining the two points x and $\phi(x)$ from $\partial\overline{E}$. It is immediate that the map $\overline{E} \to P$ is a an interval bundle. The monodromy action of j sends the fiber γ_x into itself by exchanging its endpoints, while elements from $\pi_1(\widehat{P})$ act as identity. It follows that $E \to P$ is the twisted product $P \times_\alpha [0,1]$, where α is identified with a class in $H^1(P, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

On the other hand $\overline{\widehat{E}} \to \overline{E}$ is a 2-fold cover of class $w_1(\xi) \in H^1(\overline{E}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, by hypothesis. This 2-fold cover is $\widehat{P} \times [0,1] \to P \times_\alpha [0,1]$. Therefore its class in $H^1(P \times_\alpha [0,1])$ is determined by the exact sequence:

$$1 \to \pi_1(\widehat{P} \times [0,1]) \to \pi_1(P \times_\alpha [0,1]) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 1$$

and thus it can be identified with α, so that $\alpha = w_1(\xi|_{\overline{E}})$. □

This settles proposition 3.8. □

3.6. **End of proof of Theorem 1.1.** Eventually M is the union of manifolds of constant curvature $\overline{\text{int}(C)}$ and a number of interval bundles corresponding to the connected components of $\overline{M - C}$. Since the limit leaves are also totally umbilical and of constant curvature M is a graph of space forms. This proves theorem 1.1.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

4.1. **Outline.** The positivity of λ implies that connected components of the set of non-isotropic points consist of spherical cylinders. In the second step we describe the closures of these connected components, which are not necessarily manifolds. To simplify the topology we want to excise the cores of these tubes, although their number might be infinite. A key argument is Grushko's theorem in group theory which translates here into the finiteness of the number of factors in a free amalgamated product presentation of a finitely presented group. This shows that one could carry out surgery for only finitely many tubes.

It remains to understand the topology of the remaining pieces, which are neighborhoods of the set of isotropic points. We show there is a way to cap off the boundary components of each piece, by by means of hypersphere caps in the hyperbolic space whose geometry is controlled. A deep result of Nikolaev states that a manifold with bounded volume and diameter whose integral anisotropy is small enough should be diffeomorphic to a space form. This procedure constructs closed manifolds out of regular neighborhoods of isotropic points, whose geometry is controlled and whose integral anisotropy is arbitrarily small. Then Nikolaev's result shows that these are diffeomorphic to space forms.

4.2. **The topology of $M - C$ when $\lambda > 0$.** Let M^n be a closed n-manifold, $n \geq 3$, admitting a 1-QC metric with orientable distinguished line field, which is assumed conformally flat when $n = 3$. Suppose that λ is positive and $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite torsion-free.

Proposition 4.1. *Any non-compact connected component E of $M - C$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Since $\lambda|_E > 0$ curvature leaves are diffeomorphic to spheres quotients.

By proposition 2.8 there exists an isometric immersion $\Phi : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. Note that each curvature leaf is mapped isometrically onto some round $(n-1)$-sphere by the immersion in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ (see e.g. [35]). Recall that *round n-spheres* (sometimes also called geodesic or extrinsic n-spheres) are intersections of a hypersphere with $\mathbb{H}^n_\kappa \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ (see [35], section 2).

Now any curvature leaf L in M is covered by a disjoint union of spheres in $\widetilde{M}$ and thus L is diffeomorphic to a spherical space forms. The stabilizer of one sphere in $\widetilde{M}$ is finite and obviously contained in $\pi_1(M)$. Thus it must be trivial as $\pi_1(M)$ was assumed to be torsion-free and hence the curvature leaf L is a sphere. Therefore E is diffeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. □

When $C = \emptyset$ then, M is diffeomorphic to a spherical bundle over the circle.

4.3. **Closures of globally 1-QC components within 1-QC manifolds.** Suppose now that there exist isotropic points, so that $C \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let E be a connected component of $M - C$ such that $\xi|_E$ is orientable. We assume that $\lambda \geq c > 0$ on E. Then $\overline{E}$ is obtained from E by adjoining two boundary spheres, which might possibly be degenerate or tangent at one point or along a codimension one sphere.*

Proof. The proof is similar to ([11], Lemma 2.7). Let $q \in \overline{E} \cap C$. Choose a component E_0 of $\pi^{-1}(E) \subset \widetilde{M}$, which is diffeomorphic to a spherical cylinder since E is simply connected. Let then $\widetilde{q}$ be a lift of q which belongs to $\overline{E}$.

Any connected component $L_{\widetilde{p}}$ of the preimage $\pi^{-1}(L_p) \subset \widetilde{M}$ of a curvature leaf through p is sent by the isometric immersion Φ onto an embedded round sphere $S_{\lambda(p)}$ of curvature $\lambda(p)$ in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. Now L_p is a quotient of the sphere $L_{\widetilde{p}}$ by a finite subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$. Since $\pi_1(M)$ is torsion-free L_p is also a sphere, which is isometric to $S_{\lambda(p)}$.

Let $p_i \in E$ be a sequence converging in M to q and $\widetilde{p}_i \in E_0$ lifts converging to $\widetilde{q}$ in $\widetilde{M}$. The curvature leaves L_{p_i} lift to curvature leaves $L_{\widetilde{p}_i}$ in $\widetilde{M}$ which are round spheres of radius R_i. Since λ_i is bounded from below, R_i are bounded. The sphere $L_{\widetilde{p}_i}$ lives in a geodesic n-plane $\mathbb{H}^n_\kappa$ orthogonal at the lift of the unit vector field $\xi|_{\widetilde{p}_i}$. By a compactness argument and passing to a subsequence we can assume that R_i and $\xi|_{\widetilde{p}_i}$ converge to R_∞ (which might be 0) and ξ_∞, respectively. Then the spheres $L_{\widetilde{p}_i}$ converge to the round sphere L_∞ of radius R_∞ living in the n-plane $\mathbb{H}^n_\kappa$ orthogonal to ξ_∞ and passing through $\widetilde{q}$.

The argument from ([11], Lemma 2.7) applies to show that for any sequence $\widetilde{p'_i} \in E_0$ converging to $\widetilde{q}$ in $\widetilde{M}$ the spheres $L_{\widetilde{p'_i}}$ converge to the round sphere L_∞. Indeed, if we had another limit sphere L'_∞ passing through $\widetilde{q}$, then a small enough connected neighborhood V of some point $p \in L_\infty - L'_\infty$ would intersect all leaves $L_{\widetilde{p}_i}$ and would miss all $L_{\widetilde{p'_i}}$. Since any curvature leaf in E_0 disconnects E_0, this would imply that V is not connected, contradicting our choice.

Therefore $\overline{E_0}$ is obtained from E_0 by adjoining two boundary (possibly degenerate) round spheres corresponding to the ends. Since $\overline{E_0}$ is compact and π is a covering $\pi(\overline{E_0}) = \overline{E}$. Then $\overline{E}$ is obtained from E by adjoining two round spheres. They cannot intersect transversely, as otherwise close enough leaves of E should intersect. Thus the boundary spheres should be either disjoint or tangent. Lifting both of them to $\widetilde{M}$ we obtain two round $(n-1)$-spheres in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. They bound two convex n-disks whose intersection is convex. Therefore the spheres intersection is either one point or a $(n-2)$-sphere and in particular it is connected. □

In general, λ might have poles. Consider a spherical cylinder with a disk adjoined to it, having the form of a rotationally invariant cap whose north pole is the only isotropic point. Then curvature leaves are spheres whose intrinsic curvature λ explodes when approaching the isotropic point. In fact, this is the only phenomenon which can arise:

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $\lambda > 0$ on $M - C$ and set*

$$C_\infty = \{q \in \overline{M - C} \cap C; \limsup_{p \to q} \lambda(p) = \infty\}$$

Then,

1. *either there exists a connected E component of $M - C$ with the property that $q \in \overline{E}$ and $\overline{E}$ is diffeomorphic to a disk containing q.*
2. *or else there exists a sequence E_i of components of $M - C$ with the property that $q \in \overline{\cup_{i=1}^\infty E_i}$, but $q \notin \overline{E_i}$, for any i. Moreover, $\overline{E_i}$ are embedded annuli with disjoint interiors.*

Proof. Given a compact Riemannian M there exists some constant c_1 such that any embedded sphere S of diameter $d \leq c_1$ bounds an embedded disk D of diameter $f_1(d)$ and volume $f_2(d)$, such that $\lim_{d \to 0} f_i(d) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. It suffices to cover M by finitely many open charts diffeomorphic to disks and to choose then c_1 so that any metric disk on M of radius c_1 is contained within some chart.

Now, the diameter of a round sphere S_λ goes to 0, when $\lambda \to \infty$. The same holds for the diameter of L_λ in the Riemannian metric of M. Therefore, if λ is larger than some c_2 then L_λ bounds an embedded disk D_λ in M.

Consider λ_1 such that the intrinsic diameter of S_{λ_1} is smaller than c_1. There exists a curvature leaf L_1 of curvature $2\lambda_1$ in E, which therefore bounds a disk $D_1 \subset M$. Let $L_2 \subset E \cap (M - D_1)$ be a curvature leaf whose curvature is $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and thus it bounds also a disk D_2 in M. The leaves L_1 and L_2 bound a submanifold E_0. From Proposition 4.1 and the strong form of the global Reeb stability theorem (see [31, 12]) E_0 is compact and diffeomorphic to a spherical cylinder.

Observe that there is an unique embedded disk D bounded by an embedded codimension one sphere in M, as otherwise M would be homeomorphic to a sphere, contradicting the fact that $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite. Hence $D_2 = E_0 \cup D_1$.

If $q \notin D_1$, as $q \in C_\infty$ we could choose λ large enough so that $f_2(\lambda) < \mathrm{vol}(D_1)$. On the other hand $D_2 \subset D_1$ and hence the volume of the unique embedded disk bounding L_2 would be larger than $\mathrm{vol}(D_1)$, contradicting our choice of λ. Thus $q \in D_1$.

Note that, when $q \in C_\infty$ is not as above, then there exists an infinite sequence E_i of connected components of $M - C$ such that $q \in \overline{\cup_i E_i}$, but $q \notin \overline{E_i}$, for all i. These components should have disjoint interiors and they are diffeomorphic to spherical cylinders. The size of $\overline{E_i}$ (namely the radius of a boundary circle and the width) should converge to 0. Moreover, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ all but finitely many $\overline{E_i}$ are contained in a metric ball of radius ε around q. They are therefore null-homotopic. □

4.4. **Construction of neighborhoods of isotropic points.**

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $\lambda > 0$ on $M - C$. We set $V_\epsilon(C) = \{p \in M; |H(p) - N(p)| < \epsilon\}$. Then there exist arbitrarily small saturated neighborhoods of C, namely for every $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough there exists an open neighborhood $W_\varepsilon \subset V_\varepsilon(C)$ such that $W_\varepsilon - C$ is a union of leaves and $W_\varepsilon \supset V_\delta(C)$.*

Proof. This is a classical result in codimension one foliations with compact leaves. From Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 for each $p \in C \cap \overline{M - C}$ there exists a (possibly not unique) limit curvature leaf $L_p \subset C \cap \overline{M - C}$ which passes through p. This limit curvature leaf might degenerate to one single point.

Assume now that $V_\varepsilon(C)$ does not contain a saturated neighborhood. Then we can find a sequence of pairs of points p_i, q_i, each pair belonging to the same curvature leaf in $M - C$ such that $p_i \to p \in C$ but $q_i \notin V_\varepsilon(C)$. If q is an accumulation point of q_i then it cannot belong to C since $|H(q) - N(q)| \geq \varepsilon$. The curvature leaf L_q passing through q is contained therefore in $M - C$. This implies that the compact curvature leaves L_{q_i} passing through q_i converge in the Hausdorff topology towards L_q. On the other hand we have $L_{p_i} = L_{q_i}$ so that it also converges towards some L_p. This contradicts the fact that L_q is complete and thus it cannot contain points from C.

Eventually, if there does not exist some $\delta > 0$ such that $W_\varepsilon \supset V_\delta(C)$, we would find a sequence $p_n \in V_{\frac{1}{n}}(C) - W_\varepsilon$. If p is a limit point of the sequence p_n then $p \notin W_\varepsilon$, as W_ε is open. On the other hand $p \in C$, which is a contradiction. □

Now W_ε is a manifold containing C and whose frontier $\overline{W_\varepsilon} - W_\varepsilon \subset M - C$. Since W_ε is a saturated subset its frontier consists of union of curvature leaves. From Lemma 4.2 these are round spheres, and in particular $\overline{W_\varepsilon}$ is a codimension zero submanifold of M.

Denote by $N_i(\varepsilon)$ the connected components of $\overline{W_\varepsilon}$. There are manifolds with boundary, which we call *standard neighborhoods of isotropic points*. The complementary $M - W_\varepsilon$ is a union of spherical cylinders, which will be called *necks*.

The first main result is the following chopping result:

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that $\lambda(p) \geq c > 0$ for $p \in M - C$. Then there exist only finitely many components $N_i(\varepsilon)$ and also only finitely many necks.*

4.5. **Preliminaries concerning the positive λ.**

Lemma 4.6. *If $\lambda(p) > 0$, for all $p \in M - C$ and $H|_C > 0$, then there exists $\lambda_{\min}$ such that $\lambda(p) \geq \lambda_{\min} > 0$, for all $p \in M - C$.*

Proof. As H is smooth on M and C is compact, there exists $\delta > 0$ and an open neighborhood V of C in M such that $H|_V > \delta$. On the other hand λ is smooth on $M - C$ and $M - V$ is compact hence $\inf_{p \in M-V} \lambda(p) \geq \delta' > 0$. We also have $\lambda(p) \geq H(p) \geq \delta$, if $p \in V$, so that we can take $\lambda_{\min} = \min(\delta, \delta')$. □

Lemma 4.7. *There exists some constant $\lambda_{\max}(\varepsilon)$ such that whenever $p \notin W_\varepsilon$, then*

$$\lambda(p) \leq \lambda_{\max}(\varepsilon).$$

Proof. We have

$$\lambda(p) = H(p) + \frac{\| \operatorname{grad}(H)_p \|}{4(H(p) - N(p))^2} \leq \sup_{p \in M} H(p) + \frac{1}{4\delta^2} \sup_{p \in M} \| \operatorname{grad} H|_p \|$$

where $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ is given in Lemma 4.4. □

Lemma 4.8. *Assume that the compact n-manifold X can be isometrically immersed into $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ so that its boundary ∂X goes onto the round sphere S_λ. Then the volume of X is greater than or equal to the volume of the standard n-ball B_λ with boundary S_λ lying in an n-plane $\mathbb{H}^n_\kappa$ embedded in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$.*

Proof. As the orthogonal projection $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa \to \mathbb{H}^n_\kappa$ is distance-nonincreasing, the measure of X is greater than the measure of its projection. Now, the image of X is a homological n-cycle with boundary S_λ. Then, this n-cycle cannot miss a point of B_λ because the inclusion of S_λ into $\mathbb{H}^n_\kappa - \{\text{pt}\}$ is a homology isomorphism. □

In particular, Lemma 4.8 shows that the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure of X is uniformly bounded from below by the volume of B_λ. Recall that a round sphere S_λ is a metric hypersphere in a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H}^n_\kappa \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. Now a hypersphere of radius d in $\mathbb{H}^n_\kappa$, with $\kappa \neq 0$, has principal curvatures $\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\tanh(\sqrt{\kappa}d)}$ and hence sectional curvature:

$$\lambda = \kappa \left(\frac{1 - \tanh^2(\sqrt{\kappa}d)}{\tanh^2(\sqrt{\kappa}d)} \right).$$

Thus its volume of B_λ is a function $C_1(\lambda, \kappa, n) > 0$ decreasing as a function of the intrinsic curvature λ for all $\kappa \geq 0$, namely such that:

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} C_1(\lambda, \kappa, n) = 0, \ \lim_{\lambda \to 0} C_1(\lambda, \kappa, n) = \infty.$$

4.6. **Compacity of $N_i(\varepsilon)$.** We first prove:

Proposition 4.9. *Assume that $\lambda(p) \geq c > 0$ for $p \in M - C$. Then each $N_i(\varepsilon)$ is compact.*

Proof. Such a component $N_i(\varepsilon)$ is compact, unless its boundary $\partial N_i(\varepsilon)$ consists of infinitely many $(n-1)$-spheres. All but finitely many such $(n-1)$-spheres should be separating, by Grushko's theorem since each non-separating $(n-1)$-sphere contribute a free factor $\mathbb{Z}$ to $\pi_1(M)$.

Now, let M_j be the compact connected submanifolds of M bounded by the separating $(n-1)$-spheres in $\partial N_i(\varepsilon)$. By van Kampen's theorem and Grushko's theorem all but finitely many M_j should be simply connected. Since M_j is simply connected, the inclusion into M lifts to an embedding of M_j into $\widetilde{M}$, and thus M_j is isometrically immersed into $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ such that its boundary goes onto some S_λ.

Now, each ∂M_j is a curvature leaf isometrically embedded into $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ as a round $(n-1)$-sphere S_λ of curvature λ. From lemma 4.8 the n-dimensional measure of M_j is uniformly bounded from below by $C_1(\lambda, \kappa, n) > 0$.

Since $\sup_{p \in \partial W} \lambda \leq \lambda_{\max}(\varepsilon) < \infty$ by Lemma 4.7 the n-dimensional measure of M_j is uniformly bounded from below by $C_1(\lambda_{\max}(\varepsilon), \kappa, n) > 0$. Since M has finite volume there are only finitely many pairwise disjoint domains M_j and hence only finitely many components of $\partial N_i(\varepsilon)$. □

Remark 4.1. The proof above shows that the number of separating boundary components which separate simply connected domains is uniformly bounded by $\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)}{C_1(\sup_{p \in M - W_\varepsilon} \lambda, \kappa, n)}$.

4.7. **Proof of proposition 4.5.** All but finitely many $N_i(\varepsilon)$ are simply connected. Otherwise, by van Kampen's theorem and the assumption $n \geq 3$ any separating $(n-1)$-sphere gives raise to a free factor in the fundamental group, so by Grushko's theorem about the rank of a free product the fundamental group has infinite rank contradicting finite generation of $\pi_1(M)$.

Lemma 4.10. *If $N_i(\varepsilon)$ is simply connected then it is diffeomorphic to a sphere with finitely many disjoint open disks removed.*

Proof. Since $N_i(\varepsilon) \subset M$ is compact and simply connected it can be isometrically immersed into $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. Moreover, the boundary $\partial N_i(\varepsilon)$ consists of finitely many $(n-1)$-spheres. Since $N_i(\varepsilon)$ is compact and any ball in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ is conformally equivalent to a ball in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ it follows that $N_i(\varepsilon)$ can be conformally immersed into $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. There is then a standard procedure of gluing balls along the boundary within the realm of conformally flat manifolds (see [34], section 2). We obtain then a conformally flat closed manifold $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ which is simply connected. Kuiper's theorem implies that $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ is diffeomorphic to a sphere, and hence the lemma. □

Lemma 4.11. *There are only finitely many components $N_i(\varepsilon)$ others than cylinders.*

Proof. We already saw above that there are finitely many components $N_i(\varepsilon)$ with one boundary component or not simply connected. It remains to show that we cannot have infinitely many holed spheres $N_i(\varepsilon)$ with at least three holes. Assume the contrary. Then all but finitely many of them should be connected among themselves using cylinders. But a trivalent graph has at least that many free generators of its fundamental group as vertices. This implies that $\pi_1(M)$ has a free factor of arbitrarily large number of generators, contradicting the Grushko theorem. □

The only possibility left is to have infinitely many manifolds $N_i(\varepsilon)$ which are diffeomorphic to cylinders $S^{n-1} \times [0,1]$, to be called *tubes*. Only finitely many of these tubes can be non-separating. We say that two separating tubes $N_i(\varepsilon)$ and $N_j(\varepsilon)$ are equivalent if the component of $M - (N_i(\varepsilon) \cup N_j(\varepsilon))$ joining the boundary components of the tubes is a cylinder, i.e. the tubes are isotopic in M.

A separating tube is *inessential* if one of the two components of its complement is a disk. There are also only finitely many equivalence classes of separating essential tubes $N_i(\varepsilon)$.

In an infinite family of essential pairwise equivalent $N_i(\varepsilon)$ we have necks C_i connecting them in a chain. Their union is then an open spherical cylinder. By Lemma 4.2 its closure is a closed spherical cylinder or a disk. Moreover, every $N_i(\varepsilon)$ contains a round sphere $X_i \subset N_i(\varepsilon)$ obtained as a limit curvature leaf. Then, the limit X_∞ of X_i exists and is a round sphere possibly degenerate, by the arguments from the proof of Lemma 4.3 and [11]. On the other hand $X_\infty \subset C$ as $H(p) = N(p)$ for all $p \in X_i$. Thus $X_\infty \subset W_\varepsilon$ and according to Lemma 4.4 sufficiently closed X_i are also contained in W_ε. This contradicts the fact that there is a neck C_i separating X_i from X_{i+1} which is disjoint from W_ε.

If we have an infinite family of inessential tubes $N_i(\varepsilon)$ accumulating to a point q of C, then all but finitely many will be contained within the neighborhood $N_j(\varepsilon)$ containing q, leading again to a contradiction. This proves proposition 4.5.

4.8. **The geometry of $N_i(\varepsilon)$ in the case $H|_{M-C} > 0$.** We give here a simple argument which permits to conclude the proof when $H > 0$.

Lemma 4.12. *Assume that $H > 0$. If $N_i(\varepsilon)$ is an essential piece then it is conformally equivalent to a spherical space form with several disjoint open disks removed.*

Proof. We have $|N(p) - H(p)| \leq \varepsilon$, for any $p \in N_i(\varepsilon)$. As H was supposed to be positive on M there exists some K such that $\min(H(p), N(p)) \geq K > 0$ for any $p \in N_i(\varepsilon)$.

Consider a connected component V_i of $\pi^{-1}(N_i(\varepsilon))$, where $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ is the universal covering projection. Since the sectional curvature of V_i is bounded from below by $K > 0$, the Bonnet-Myers theorem implies that V_i has bounded diameter and hence it is compact. In this situation we can apply (Theorem 1.4. (ii) from [11]) to the compact submanifold V_i of $\widetilde{M}$ which is immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Remark also that ∂V_i consists only of spheres. This implies that $\pi_1(V_i)$ is a free factor of $\pi_1(\widetilde{M})$ and so V_i must be simply connected. Then the conformal gluing of balls described in ([34], section 2) along the boundary components of

V_i produces a closed simply connected conformally flat manifold $\widehat{V_i}$ which can be immersed into $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Therefore by Kuiper's theorem V_i is a holed sphere.

Now, notice that any closed loop in $N_i(\varepsilon)$ can be lifted to a path in $N_i(\varepsilon)$, so that the action of the subgroup $\pi_1(N_i(\varepsilon))$ of $\pi_1(M)$ as a deck transformation subgroup acting on $\widetilde{M}$ keeps V_i globally invariant. Thus V_i is endowed with a free action by $\pi_1(N_i(\varepsilon))$. Since V_i is compact $\pi_1(N_i(\varepsilon))$ must be finite.

The action of $\pi_1(N_i(\varepsilon))$ on the holed sphere V_i is by conformal diffeomorphisms. Then Liouville's theorem implies that the diffeomorphisms are conformal diffeomorphisms of the round sphere S^n, as $n \geq 3$. In particular $N_i(\varepsilon)$ is obtained from a spherical space form by deleting out several open disks. □

Remark 4.2. Observe that the immersability property and the strict positive curvature enables us to find an elementary proof disposing of the differentiable sphere theorem under a pointwise pinched curvature assumption.

Remark 4.3. The proof actually shows that M is conformally equivalent to a connected sum of spherical space forms and classical Schottky manifolds (see [34]).

Remark 4.4. Kulkarni proved in [26] that closed orientable conformally flat manifolds which admit conformal embeddings as hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are conformally equivalent to either the round n-sphere or some Hopf manifold $S^1 \times S^{n-1}$, if both the immersion and the metric are *analytic*. The proof from above implies that closed manifolds having positive horizontal curvature for some 1-QC *analytic* metric should be conformally equivalent to either the n-sphere, $S^1 \times S^{n-1}$ or $S^1 \times_{-1} S^{n-1}$. Furthermore one should notice that in [11, 34] the authors proved that closed conformally flat manifolds which admit conformal embeddings as hypersurfaces in some hyperbolic of Euclidean space are diffeomorphic and respectively conformally equivalent to an n-sphere with several (possibly unoriented) 1-handles. This shows many similarities with the first item of our theorem.

4.9. **Neighborhoods of isotropic points when $H|_C > 0$.** We only have to show that small neighborhoods of isotropic points are standard:

Proposition 4.13. *If ε is small enough then those $N_i(\varepsilon)$ which have positive sectional curvature are diffeomorphic to spherical space forms with several disjoint open disks removed.*

Proof. The saturation lemma 4.4 shows that given $\nu > 0$ there exists $\epsilon(\nu) > 0$ small enough such that $|N(p) - H(p)| \leq \nu$, for any $p \in N_i(\varepsilon)$, meaning that $N_i(\varepsilon)$ has pointwise pinched curvature.

We wish to consider the manifold $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ obtained topologically from $N_i(\varepsilon)$ by capping off boundary spheres by disks and to show that it has also a pointwise pinched metric.

Let S be a boundary component of $N_i(\varepsilon)$ and F be a collar of S in $N_i(\varepsilon)$ which is saturated by curvature leaves. In particular F is diffeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$. As F is simply connected it could be lifted to $\widetilde{M}$ and thus embedded into $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. We say that F has width w if the distance between the two boundary components is w.

The argument used in the proof of lemma 4.9 shows that if we want that the result of capping off the collar F by some ball still be immersed into $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, then the measure of the cap is at least that of the hyperbolic ball B_λ lying in a n-plane, and thus uniformly bounded from below by the positive constant $C_1(\lambda_{\max}(\varepsilon),\kappa,n)$ from the proof of lemma 4.9. This will show that the volume of $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ is uniformly bounded from below by $C_1(\lambda_{\max}(\varepsilon),\kappa,n)>0$, if the capped collars are immersed in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. We will show now that this can indeed be done. Consider then some leaf S in the boundary of the collar F and thus also in the boundary of some $N_i(\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 4.14. (1) *Assume $H(S)>0$. If the width w of the collar F is small enough then we can cap off smoothly F along the boundary component S by attaching a hyperspherical cap $\mathcal{H}^+(S)$ whose boundary $\partial\mathcal{H}^+(S)$ is isometric to S and of radius*

$$d=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\text{arctanh}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{H(S)+\kappa}}=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}\log\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{H(S)+\kappa}}}{1-\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{H(S)+\kappa}}}\right)$$

so that $F\cup_S\mathcal{H}^+(S)$ embeds isometrically in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$.

(2) *If $H(S)\leq 0$ then there is no hyperspherical cap tangent to F along S.*

Proof. The image of F in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ is the envelope of a one-parameter family of hyperspheres, i.e. a canal hypersurface. This was already proved in [34, 13] for the case where $\kappa=0$. Assume now that $\kappa>0$. We want to show that each curvature leaf S the hypersurface F is tangent to a fixed round hypersphere $\mathcal{H}(S)$. Let ψ be the unitary normal vector field on the image of F in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ and $\overline{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. The Weingarten (or shape) operator L_ψ is defined as $L_\psi X=(\overline{\nabla}_X\psi)^T$, where the superscript ^{T} means the tangent part. Moreover, $g(L_\psi X,Y)=h(X,Y)$, where X,Y are tangent to M and h is the second fundamental form of M. It follows that $L_\psi X=-\sqrt{H+\kappa}\cdot X$ for any vector field X tangent to the curvature leaf S.

Lemma 4.15. *Let S be a fixed round sphere which is the image of a curvature leaf. Then, either the focal locus of F is empty or else there is a point $q\in\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ such that the hypersphere $\mathcal{H}(S)$ of radius d and center q contains S and is tangent to F along S.*

Proof. This seems to be widely known, except possibly for the explicit value of the radius when $\kappa>0$ (see [13] for $\kappa=0$). The first part follows from the case $\kappa=0$, as that balls in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ are conformally equivalent to balls in the Euclidean space and curvatures leaves are preserved.

Another, self-contained proof uses the particular form of the focal locus, which if non-empty it is a 1-dimensional manifold and hence a segment, as the focal points have multiplicity $n-1$ (see [6]). An endpoint of the focal locus is at the same distance to any point of S, the corresponding boundary component of F since the derivative of the focal map ([6], section 1.e, Thm. 2.1 and proof of Thm. 3.1)) is trivial, so that S is contained in a hypersphere $\mathcal{H}(S)$. Notice that the focal map is only defined when $\left|\frac{\kappa}{H(S)+\kappa}\right|<1$, namely when $H(S)>0$, corresponding to the fact that the extrinsic curvature has to be

greater than κ. Still another proof of this statement could be found in [20] in a slightly different wording. □

In order to compute the radius of this hypersphere, we recall that for a unit speed geodesic γ parameterized by $[0, l]$ issued from a point p and normal to the submanifold W of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ the Jacobi field $Y(t)$ along γ is a W-Jacobi field if $Y(0)$ is tangent to W at p, $Y(t)$ is orthogonal to $\dot\gamma(t)$ for all t, and $\nabla_{\dot\gamma(0)}Y(0) + L_{\dot\gamma(0)}Y(0)$ is orthogonal to W, where $L_{\dot\gamma(0)}$ is the Weingarten (or shape) operator of W. The point q on γ is called a focal point of W along γ if there exists a non-trivial W-Jacobi field along γ vanishing at q.

If $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ is a set of parallel vector fields along γ which form along with $\dot\gamma$ a basis of the ambient tangent space to $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, then a Jacobi field on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ along γ has the form (see [8]):

$$Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i \sinh\sqrt{\kappa}t + b_i \cosh\sqrt{\kappa}t) X_i(t),$$

where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$. An immediate computation yields the fact that focal points of S along geodesics pointing in the direction of ψ exist if and only if $H(S) > 0$, in which case they are all at the same distance

$$d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \operatorname{arctanh} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{H+\kappa}}$$

Moreover $\mathcal{H}(S)$ and F have the same normal vector field ψ at the points of S. Eventually S determines a spherical cap $\mathcal{H}^+(S)$ lying on $\mathcal{H}(S)$ such that its union with F along S is smooth. □

Remark 4.5. Observe that when $H(S) \leq 0$ the normal geodesics in the direction $\pm\psi$ diverge. Nevertheless there exists a totally umbilical embedded n-plane of constant negative intrinsic curvature $H(S)$ which plays this time the role of the round sphere $\mathcal{H}(S)$. However, now the cap $\mathcal{H}^+(S)$ is unbounded. These are called *equidistant planes* (or hypersurfaces) and were first considered in [7]. In fact they are the locus of points at a given distance r from a hyperbolic hyperplane of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, or a horosphere respectively. For instance, when $H(S) = 0$, $\mathcal{H}(S)$ is a horosphere centered at the point at infinity where all normal geodesics to S abut. Further, when $H(S) < 0$ we have an equidistant plane for the distance $r = \operatorname{arcosh}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|H(S)|}}\right)$.

We restrict ourselves from now on to the case when $H(S) > 0$. We consider now $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ be the manifold obtained from $N_i(\varepsilon)$ by adding to each boundary component S the corresponding cap $\mathcal{H}^+(S)$. This gives only a $\mathcal{C}^1$-metric on the union, but an arbitrarily small perturbation by an infinitely flat function along a small collar of the boundary will make it smooth. Recall that an infinitely flat function is a smooth real function which vanishes on $(-\infty, 0]$ and equals 1 on $[\delta, \infty)$.

A neighborhood of S in $N_i(\varepsilon)$ is a collar F, and by choosing ε small enough we can insure that the width w of the collar can be made arbitrarily small.

Before to proceed we need now to introduce another metric invariant of a Riemannian manifold. Recall from [32] that the *pointwise anisotropy* of the metric is the quantity:

$$I(p) = \sup_{\sigma} \left| K_p(\sigma) - \frac{R(p)}{n(n-1)} \right|,$$

where $K_p(\sigma)$ is the curvature of the plane σ at p and $R(p)$ is the scalar curvature at p. Furthermore the *integral anisotropy* of the manifold N is $\int_N I(p)\, d\,\mathrm{vol}$.

Lemma 4.16. *Assume $H(S) > 0$. For $n \geq 3$ there exist two constants C_1, C_2 such that for any $\delta > 0$ and for any for small enough ε we have*

(1) $\mathrm{vol}(\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}) \geq C_1 > 0$;
(2) $\mathrm{diam}^2(\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}) \sup_{p \in \widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}, \sigma} |K_p(\sigma)| < C_2$;
(3) *and the integral isotropy of $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ is smaller than δ.*

Proof. Proposition 4.14 shows that for small enough ε we can glue isometrically spherical caps along the boundary components to obtain a smooth Riemannian manifold $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$. These caps are the complementary of $\mathcal{H}^+(S)$ within the sphere $\mathcal{H}(S)$, for each boundary component S of $N_i(\varepsilon)$.

The boundary components of $N_i(\varepsilon)$ are either separating or non-separating. The separating ones are of two types: either the separated component is a manifold with non-trivial fundamental group or else it is simply connected. The boundary component is accordingly called non-trivial and respectively trivial.

Each non-separating or separating non-trivial boundary component induces a non-trivial splitting of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$. It follows that their total number is bounded from above by the maximal number $r(\pi_1(M))$ of factors in a free splitting of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$. Further the number of trivial separating boundary components is uniformly bounded by $\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)}{C_1(\lambda_{\max}(\varepsilon),\kappa,n)}$ according to remark 4.1. Moreover, each cap lies on a hypersphere of radius d. It follows that the diameter of $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ is uniformly bounded from above by

$$C_2 = C_2(\mathrm{vol}(M), \lambda, H, \kappa, n) = r(\pi_1 M) \cdot \mathrm{diam}(M) + 2\pi d \cdot \frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)}{C_1(\lambda_{\max}(\varepsilon), \kappa, n)},$$

while the volume $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ is at least $C_1 = C_1(\lambda, \kappa, n)$. Observe that d is uniformly bounded in terms of $|\inf_{p\in M} H|$.

Now hyperspheres $\mathcal{H}(S)$ of radius d in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ have constant sectional curvature. As they are totally umbilical the principal curvatures are all equal to $\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\tanh(\sqrt{\kappa}d)}$. It follows that the sectional curvature is equal to $H(S)$. By choosing the smoothing collar of S small enough we obtain:

$$\sup_{p \in \widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}, \sigma} |K_p(\sigma)| \leq \max(\sup_{p\in M} |H|, \sup_{p\in M} |N|)$$

On the other hand the pointwise anisotropy $I(p)$ is non-zero only on the subset $N_i(\varepsilon)$, as spherical caps have constant curvature. Thus the integral anisotropy of $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ is bounded by above by $\nu \int_M |H| d\text{vol}$. The claim of the proposition follows. □

Now, the last ingredient in the proof of proposition 4.13 is the following deep result of Nikolaev (see [32]), which states precisely that given any constants C_1, C_2 there exists some $\delta(C_1, C_2, n) > 0$ such that any closed n-manifold N satisfying $\text{vol}(N) \geq C_1 > 0$, $\text{diam}^2(N) \sup_{p \in N, \sigma} |K_p(\sigma)| < C_2$ whose integral isotropy is smaller than δ is diffeomorphic to a space form. For small enough ε such that $\nu(\varepsilon)\text{vol}(M) < \delta(C_1, C_2)$ the manifold $\widehat{N_i(\varepsilon)}$ satisfies all conditions in Nikolaev's criterion above.

□

This ends the proof of theorem 1.2 in the case where we have only essential pieces $N_i(\varepsilon)$ with positive curvature. By choosing small enough collars we have only boundary components S with $H(S) > 0$. Now we can obtain the manifold M by gluing together the essential pieces and the tubes which correspond to attaching 1-handles.

Remark 4.6. We don't know whether essential pieces $N_i(\varepsilon)$ for small enough ε can be assigned a well-defined sign according to the curvature at their isotropic points. This would permit to use Gromov's pointwise pinching result in negative curvature (see [16]) under bounded volume assumptions. This would be so if locally the dimension of C were at least 3, by F. Schur ([38]).

Remark 4.7. Isotropic points where the sectional curvature is negative can only be approached by leaves S with $H(S) < 0$ and the method considered above cannot provide an isometric capping off for the boundary components. For instance, if some negatively curved Gromov-Thurston manifold (see [17]) admits a spine which can be realized by points of constant sectional curvature, then we could provide examples of 1-QC manifolds whose vertex manifolds are not space forms. Recall that a spine for a closed manifold M is a polyhedron $P \subset M$ with the property that $M - D^n$ collapses onto P, where $D^n \subset M$ denotes an n-disk. A typical spine could be geometrically constructed using the cut locus of nice metrics.

5. The equivariant immersions of low dimensional 1-QC manifolds

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that $n = 3$ and κ is such that $H + \kappa > 0$ on M.*

1. *If $N + \kappa \neq 0$ then there exists an unique isometric immersion $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, up to an isometry of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$.*
2. *Assume that $N + \kappa = 0$. Then the set of such equivariant isometric immersions of a component E of $M - C$ is in bijection with the set of paths in the space of complex structures over the surface L corresponding to a curvature leaf.*

Proof. If $\{X_1, X_2, X_3 = \xi\}$ is a local orthonormal basis and h_{ij} are components of the second fundamental form of the immersion then Gauss equations read:

$$h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}^2 = \mu, h_{11}h_{33} - h_{13}^2 = \nu, h_{22}h_{33} - h_{23}^2 = \nu$$

$$h_{23}h_{11} = h_{12}h_{13}, h_{13}h_{11} = h_{12}h_{23}, h_{12}h_{33} = h_{13}h_{23}$$

where $\mu = H + \kappa$, $\nu = N + \kappa$. The homogeneous equations above imply that either $h_{11} = h_{22}$ or else $\nu(h_{11} + h_{22}) = \mu h_{33}$. The first alternative leads to the immersion arising from the form h in proposition 2.8, as happened for $n = 4$. The second one coupled with the non-homogeneous equations leads to $\nu = 0$. In this case we obtain the solution consisting of h_{ij} with

$$h_{33} = h_{13} = h_{23} = 0$$

while the other components are satisfying:

$$h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}^2 = \mu$$

It follows that the restriction of h to each lift $\pi^{-1}(L)$ of a curvature leaf $L \subset E$ (of constant curvature H_0) in $\widetilde{M}$ is the second fundamental form of an immersion $f_{\lambda_0} : \pi^{-1}(L) \to \mathbb{H}^n_\kappa$. This immersion f_{λ_0} is a slice of the immersion $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, with respect to the foliation of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ by hyperbolic hyperplanes $\mathbb{H}^n_\kappa$.

In ([28], Prop. 2.5) one identified the 2-tensors verifying the Gauss and Codazzi equations for a constant curvature metric on a surface with the complex structures on the surface. Therefore, the complex structures of the slices of E give us a path of complex structures on the slice surface. Recall that the sectional curvature of the slice surface is the not necessarily constant function λ on E, so that we need to rescaled it in every slice in order to fit exactly into the framework of ([28], Prop 2.5). □

Observe that the immersion $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ is covered by an equivariant immersion into the (unit) tangent bundle $\hat{f} : \widetilde{M} \to T\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$, defined by

$$\hat{f}(p) = (f(p), n_{f(p)}) \in T\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$$

where $n_{f(p)}$ denotes the unit (positive) normal vector at $f(\widetilde{M})$ at the point $f(p)$ associated to the local sheet defined around p.

Let us further consider the hyperbolic Gauss map $G : T\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa \to S^n$ which sends the pair (p, v), where $p \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa, v \in T_p\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ to the point at infinity to which the geodesic issued from p in direction v will reach the boundary. Here S^n is identified with the boundary at infinity $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ of the hyperbolic space.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that $H + \kappa > 0$, $N + \kappa > 0$. The composition $F = G \circ \hat{f} : \widetilde{M} \to S^n$ is an immersion. Moreover the flat conformal structure defined by the 1-QC metric on M is the one given by the developing map F and the holonomy homomorphism ρ.*

Proof. The first part follows from the fact that $f(\widetilde{M})$ is locally convex, when $H + \kappa > 0$, $N + \kappa > 0$. Moreover, by the same argument as above (for $n \geq 2$) the immersion F is equivariant with respect to the same homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to SO(n+1, 1)$ as above, but this time interpreting $SO(n+1, 1)$ as the group of Möbius (conformal) transformations of the sphere. It follows that the conformally flat structure on M is actually given by the developing map F and the holonomy homomorphism ρ. □

Proposition 5.3. *If $n \geq 4$, then there exists a canonical hyperbolic metric on $M \times [0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Using the (unique) equivariant immersion $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ we can construct under the conditions of proposition 5.2 another equivariant immersion $\phi : \widetilde{M} \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$ by setting:

$$\phi(p, t) = \exp(tn_{f(p)}).$$

This is well-defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and it is an immersion when $f(\widetilde{M})$ is locally convex. In particular we have a constant curvature metric $\phi^* g_{\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa}$ induced by this immersion as pull-back of the usual metric $g_{\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa}$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_\kappa$. Since ϕ is equivariant the metric descends to $M \times [0, \infty)$ and it becomes hyperbolic after rescaling. In order to apply proposition 5.2 we have to choose κ large enough. However a different κ will lead to the same hyperbolic metric. □

Remark 5.1. When $n = 2$ the situation is different, and it was described by Labourie in [28]. The space $\mathcal{I}(k_0)$ of codimension one isometric equivariant isometries of a surface of a surface S of genus at least 2 into the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3_1$, such that the pull-back metric is of constant curvature $k_0 \in (-1, 0)$ – up to left composition by isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3_1$ and right composition by lifts of diffeomorphisms isotopic to identity – is not one point as for $n \geq 4$. In fact, there is a natural map of $\mathcal{I}(k_0)$ into the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ which associates to an immersion the conformal class ι_0 of the induced constant curvature metric. The fiber $\mathcal{I}(k_0, \iota_0)$ of this map can also be mapped homeomorphically onto the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ by sending the class of an immersion to the conformal class of its second (or third) fundamental form or, equivalently, of its associated complex structure. Then the map from $\mathcal{I}(k_0)$ to the space of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ (i.e. conformally flat) structures on S which associates to an immersion f the $\mathbb{C}P^1$-structure given by F is also a homeomorphism. This gives a one parameter family of parameterizations of the space of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ structures by $\mathcal{T}(S) \times \mathcal{T}(S)$.

Thus, for each $\mathbb{C}P^1$-structure on S and $k \in (-1, 0)$ there exists some metric of constant curvature k on S and an equivariant isometric embedding $f_k : S \to \mathbb{H}^3_1$, whose associated $\mathbb{C}P^1$ structure is the one with which we started. Further, the immersion ϕ_k associated to f_k provides a hyperbolic structure $\mathcal{M}(k)$ on $S \times [0, \infty)$, called a geometrically finite end (see [28]). In [28, 29] one proved that for each constant $k \in [k_0, 0)$ there exists a unique incompressible embedded surface $S_k \subset S \times [0, \infty)$ of constant curvature k homeomorphic to S and the family S_k foliate the geometrically finite end $\mathcal{M}(k_0)$. This proves that actually $\mathcal{M}(k_1) \subset \mathcal{M}(k_2)$ if $k_1 > k_2$. When k converges to -1 then $\mathcal{M}(k)$ converges to a geometrically finite end. The $\mathbb{C}P^1$-structure associated to this geometrically finite end is also the one from the beginning. Moreover, the finite boundary of $\mathcal{M}(0) = \cup_k \mathcal{M}(k)$ is isometric to a surface of constant curvature -1 but its embedding into $\mathbb{H}^3_1$ is a pleated surface along a geodesic lamination, according to Thurston (see [28] for more details). Higher dimensional versions of Labourie's results concerning moduli of hyperbolic flat conformal were obtained by Smith in [39].

References

[1] V.Boju and L.Funar, *Espaces à courbure Stanilov quasi-constante*, Serdica Bulg. Math. Publ. 9(1983), 307–308.

[2] V.Boju and M.Popescu, *Espaces à courbure quasi-constante*, J. Diff. Geometry 13(1978), 373–383.

[3] Y. Carrière and E. Ghys, *Feuilletages totalement gódésiques*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc. 53 (1981), no. 3, 427-432.

[4] A.Candel and L.Conlon, Foliations, vol 1. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 23. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. xiv+402 pp.

[5] E. Cartan, *La déformation des hypersurfaces dans l'espace conforme réel à $n \geq 5$ dimensions*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 45 (1917), 57–121.

[6] T.E.Cecil and P.J.Ryan, *Focals sets of submanifolds*, Pacific J. Math. 78(1978), 27–39.

[7] T.E.Cecil and P.J.Ryan, *Distance functions and umbilic submanifolds of hyperbolic space*, Nagoya Math. J. 74 (1979), 67–75.

[8] J.Cheeger and D.G. Ebin, Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry, Revised reprint of the 1975 original. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2008. x+168 pp.

[9] B.-Y.Chen and K.Yano, *Special conformally flat spaces and canal surfaces*, Tohoku J. Math. 25(1973), 177–184.

[10] S.S.Chern and J.Simons, *Characteristic forms and geometric invariants*, Ann. Math. 99 (1974), 48–69.

[11] M. Do Carmo, M. Dajczer and F. Mercuri, *Compact conformally flat hypersurfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 288(1985), 189–203.

[12] R.Edwards, K.Millett and D. Sullivan, *Foliations with all leaves compact*, Topology 16 (1977), no. 1, 13–32.

[13] G.Ganchev and V.Mihova, *Riemannian manifolds of quasi-constant sectional curvatures*, J. reine angew. Math. 522(2000), 119–141.

[14] W. Goldman, *Conformally flat manifolds with nilpoetent holonomy and the uniformization problem for 3-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 573–583.

[15] W. Goldman and Y. Kamishima, *Conformal automorphisms and conformally flat manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 323 (1991) 797–810.

[16] M. Gromov, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, J. Diff. Geometry 13 (1978), no. 2, 223–230.

[17] M.Gromov and W.Thurston, *Pinching constants for hyperbolic manifolds* Invent. Math. 89 (1987), no. 1, 1–12.

[18] Pengfei Guan and Gufang Wang, *Conformal deformations of the smallest eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor*, Amer. J. Math. 129 (2007), 499–526.

[19] C. C. Hwang, *Some theorems on the spaces of quasiconstant curvature*, J. Math. Res. Exposition 3 (1983), no. 1, 1–16.

[20] S. Izumiya, D. Pei and T. Sano, *Singularities of hyperbolic Gauss maps*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 86 (2003), 485–512.

[21] D.L. Johnson and L.B. Whitt, *Totally geodesic foliations*, J. Diff. Geometry 15 (1980), 225–235.

[22] Y. Kamishima, *Conformally flat manifolds whose development maps are not surjective*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 294 (1986), 607–623.

[23] M.Kapovich, *Conformally flat metrics on 4-manifolds*, J. Diff. Geometry 66(2004), 289–301.

[24] N.H. Kuiper, *On conformally flat spaces in the large*, Ann. Math. 50(1949), 916–924.

[25] N.H. Kuiper, *On compact conformally Euclidean spaces of dimension* > 2, Ann. Math. 52(1950), 478–490.

[26] R.S. Kulkarni, *Conformally flat manifolds*, Proc. Nat. Sci. USA 69(1972), no. 9, 2675-2676.

[27] R.S. Kulkarni, Index theorems of Atiyah-Bott-Patodi and curvature invariants. With a preface by Raoul Bott. Sém. Math. Sup., No. 49 (1972), Les Presses de l'Univ. de Montréal, Montreal, Que., 1975. 86 pp.

[28] F. Labourie, *Surfaces convexes dans l'espace hyperbolique et* $\mathbf{C}P^1$*-structures*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 45 (1992), 549–565.
[29] F. Labourie, *Problème de Minkowski et surfaces à courbure constante dans les variétés hyperboliques*, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 119 (1991), 307–325.
[30] J. Lafontaine, *Conformal geometry from the Riemannian viewpoint*, in "Conformal geometry", (R.S.Kulkarni and U.Pinkall Ed.), Aspects Math. 1988, 65–102.
[31] K.C.Millett, *Compact foliations*, Differential topology and geometry (Proc. Colloq., Dijon, 1974), 277–287. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 484, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
[32] I.G. Nikolaev, *Stability problems in a theorem of Schur*, Comment. Math. Helv. 70 (1995), 210–234.
[33] S.P. Novikov, *Topology of foliations*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 14 (1965), 268–304.
[34] U. Pinkall, *Compact conformally flat hypersurfaces*, in "Conformal geometry", (R.S.Kulkarni and U.Pinkall Ed.), Aspects Math. 1988, 217–236.
[35] H.Reckziegel, *Krümmungsflächen von isometrischen Immersionen in Räume konstanter Krümmung*, Math. Ann. 223 (1976), no. 2, 169–181.
[36] H.Reckziegel, *Completeness of curvature surfaces of an isometric immersion*, J. Diff. Geometry 14 (1979), 7–20.
[37] R.Schoen and S.T. Yau, *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. 92 (1988), no. 1, 47–71.
[38] F. Schur, *Über den Zusammenhang der Räume konstanten Krümmungsmasses mit den projectiven Räumen*, Math. Ann. 27 (1886), 537–567.
[39] G. Smith, *Moduli of flat conformal structures of hyperbolic type*, Geom. Dedicata 154 (2011), 47–80.
[40] M.Spivak, A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry, vol. IV. Second edition. Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, Del., 1979. viii+561 pp.

Institut Fourier, Laboratoire de Mathematiques UMR 5582, Université Grenoble Alpes, CS 40700, 38058 Grenoble, France

E-mail address: louis.funar@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr